The Role of Maintenance Records in an Aircraft Appraisal

Why I Require Logbooks and Complete Maintenance-Status Reports — Current and Historical

Barnett Investment Group, Inc. • Aircraft Appraisal & Management

As part of every aircraft appraisal I perform, I request copies of the aircraft, engine, and propeller logbooks, or at least a complete maintenance-status report from a recognized tracking service such as CAMP Systems, when the aircraft is enrolled, both if available. Clients sometimes ask why this documentation is necessary, or why a summary or the owner's representations will not suffice. This memorandum explains why the maintenance records are indispensable to a credible value opinion — both for what they reveal about the aircraft's present status and for the historical picture they build — and how their analysis is tied to the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

1. Maintenance Status Is a Primary Driver of Aircraft Value

Unlike most personal property, an aircraft's value is inseparable from its maintenance condition. Two aircraft of the same make, model, and year can differ in value by very large margins based solely on the status of time-controlled components, the maintenance programs they are enrolled on, and the completeness of their records. A meaningful portion of an aircraft's worth is effectively "stored" in the remaining life of its engines, and that value cannot be estimated without the records that document it.

For this reason, the logbooks and a current tracking report are not background paperwork — they are primary source data for the valuation itself. Estimating value without them would require assumptions so significant that the credibility of the result would be undermined.

2. Current-Status Factors the Records Establish

A current, complete maintenance-status report allows me to establish where the aircraft stands today against the factors that most directly affect value:

- Time in service — total time on the airframe (TTAF) and time on each engine and propeller, the baseline for nearly every valuation adjustment.
- Engine status and program enrollment — time and cycles since overhaul, remaining time to the next overhaul, and whether the engines are enrolled on an hourly cost-maintenance program (for example, on-condition or a program provider). Program enrollment, and whether the account is paid current, can materially change value.
- Time-controlled and life-limited components — the remaining life on items with mandatory replacement or inspection intervals, and how soon a costly event is due.
- Inspection status — position within the inspection cycle and how much usable time remains before the next major, expensive inspection comes due.

- Airworthiness Directive (AD) and Service Bulletin (SB) compliance — confirmation that mandatory directives have been complied with and recorded.
- Avionics, equipment, and modifications — installed equipment and any modifications performed under proper approvals, which affect both utility and value.
- Damage and repair status — any recorded damage and the quality of the repairs made.

A tracking service such as CAMP consolidates much of this into a single authoritative status report, which is why I ask for it when the aircraft is enrolled. It reflects the components and intervals as tracked and maintained over time, rather than a point-in-time estimate.

3. Why the Historical Record Matters As Much As Current Status

A current status report tells me where the aircraft is; the historical logbooks tell me how it got there, and whether the current status can be relied upon. Both are necessary. The historical record establishes several things a snapshot cannot:

Continuity and completeness of records

In the aircraft market, the records themselves carry value. A complete, unbroken logbook history from new supports a higher value, while gaps, missing logbooks, or undocumented periods depress value — sometimes substantially — because a buyer cannot verify what occurred during the missing intervals. Establishing whether the history is complete is only possible by examining it.

Verification of the current status

The historical entries are how the current status is substantiated. Overhauls, component replacements, AD compliance, and major repairs are proven by the logbook entries that record them. Without the history, the current-status figures are unverified representations rather than documented facts.

Damage history and major repairs

Prior damage — and, importantly, the quality and approval of the repairs — is a well-recognized value factor that is often disclosed only in the historical record. A properly repaired aircraft with documented, approved repairs is treated very differently from one with undocumented or substandard work, and either way the market discounts a damage history relative to a comparable aircraft with none.

Maintenance patterns and care

The pattern of maintenance over time — consistent, timely, program-based care versus deferred or irregular maintenance — informs how the aircraft is likely to have been operated and cared for, which the market prices in.

4. How This Ties to USPAP

Because an aircraft is personal property, my appraisal is governed by USPAP STANDARD 7 (development) and STANDARD 8 (reporting). **Standards Rule 7-2** requires me to identify the characteristics of the property that are relevant to the type and definition of value and the intended use of the appraisal —

which, for an aircraft, necessarily includes its maintenance and airworthiness status. **Standards Rule 7-1** requires that I not commit a substantial error of omission and that I diligently identify and analyze the information that has a significant effect on the credibility of the results. Maintenance condition is exactly such information.

The **SCOPE OF WORK RULE** requires that the scope of work be sufficient to produce credible assignment results and that client preferences not limit the analysis to the point that the results are no longer credible. Declining to examine available logbooks and status reports would fall short of that standard. The **RECORD KEEPING RULE** of the ETHICS RULE requires that my workfile contain the data and analysis supporting my conclusions — which means the records I relied upon, and my analysis of them, must be retained in the file.

Where records are incomplete or unavailable, USPAP does not necessarily prohibit the assignment, but it requires transparency: I would have to employ an extraordinary assumption regarding the aircraft's status and disclose it clearly, and I would have to consider the effect of the missing records on both value and the credibility of the result. That is a markedly weaker position than a value opinion grounded in complete, verified documentation — and it is precisely the kind of qualification a lender should want to avoid relying upon.

5. Summary

The logbooks and a complete maintenance-status report are not supporting paperwork — they are primary evidence of value. The current status establishes the time, life, and program factors that drive the number today; the historical record verifies that status, reveals damage history and records continuity, and captures the pattern of care the market prices in. Analyzing them is required to identify the relevant characteristics of the aircraft under USPAP and to produce a credible, well-supported opinion that will withstand later review. Providing these records at the outset of the engagement allows me to deliver a stronger appraisal and to avoid the value-reducing assumptions that missing documentation forces.

This memorandum is a general explanation of appraisal practice and USPAP principles prepared for client information. It is not legal advice. USPAP is revised periodically; rule citations herein should be confirmed against the edition of USPAP in effect as of the appraisal's effective date.